

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 4546-02/25

Raja Rahat Javed

Vs

NAB

May 14, 2025

Appellant present in person. Hamid Javed Awan, Additional Director and Shafqat Munir Malik, Special Prosecutor General, NAB appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The appellant has sought information from the respondents headquarter regarding actions on his complaint against a person, some Ch. Muhammad Sharif, on account of allegedly manipulation and fabrication of revenue record in Tehsil Saraye-Alamgir, District Gujrat. The respondent submitted response which was also sent to the appellant, who has sent a rejoinder that he is not satisfied with its response as no documents have been provided.
2. The public body in its reply has stated that the matter was inquired by the Bureau; however, in view of the decision of the regional board dated 02-12-2016, the public body thought it wise to refer to the Board of Revenue Punjab. The public body has stated that they have sent the entire file to the Board of Revenue Punjab to ensure the compliance of directions made by Settlement Officer Gujrat vide his letter dated 18-04-2016 addressed to the Assistant Commissioner Saraye-Alamgir and report to NAB after compliance. The position was explained to the appellant and he was heard at length. He has his own point of view, but in view of the facts narrated by the public body, the Commission has done its best to get the relevant record, but obviously the Board of Revenue does not come under the purview of this Commission.
3. The appeal stands disposed of and the appellant has been advised to approach Senior Member, Board of Revenue Punjab, and in case of no response, he may seek record about inquiry/land from the Punjab Information Commission under their law through an appeal. Copy of the response provided by the public body is handed over to the appellant present before the Commission.
4. In view of the above, no further proceedings are merited. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties. Copy of the order be also sent to each Senior Member,

Board of Revenue Punjab, and Chief Information Commissioner,
Punjab Information Commission.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 4526-02/2025

Faizan Ali Kirmani

Vs

Federal Board of Revenue (FBR)

May 14, 2025

Syed Shabih Haider, Deputy Director, and Zafar Iqbal, Second Secretary, FBR appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. Information furnished by the public body was shared with the appellant vide letter dated 10-04-25 under RGL No. 153080252. No objection has been received from the appellant. It appears that the appellant is satisfied with the response of the public body. The Commission has also examined the information request and the response of the public body and found that it meets the requirements of the information request. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.
2. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 4542-03/2025

Dr. Ali Muhammad

Vs

National Highway Authority (NHA)

May 14, 2025

None appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. Information furnished by the public body was shared with the appellant vide letter dated 10-04-2025 under RGL No. 153080248. No objection has been received from the appellant. It appears that the appellant is satisfied with the response of the public body. The Commission has also examined the information request and the response of the public body and found that it meets the requirements of the information request. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.
2. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissione

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 4436-01/2025

Muhammad Waseem Elahi

Vs

Gujranwala Electric Power Company (GEPCO)

May 14, 2025

None appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The public body furnished a written reply along with relevant documents, which were shared with the appellant. The appellant has acknowledged its receipt but has submitted that he has not been provided with a legible copy of the NEPRA notification dated 09-03-2025.
2. The Commission has examined the copy of the said notification available on the file, which has been found quite legible and readable. However, in view of the request of the appellant, another legible copy of the notification dated 09-03-2025 shall be sent to the appellant.
3. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 4199-11/2024

Irfan Mahmood

Vs

Multan Electric Power Company (MEPCO)

May 14, 2025

Jamshed Niazi, Director (PR), MEPCO appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. Information furnished by the public body was shared with the appellant vide letter dated 21-03-2025 under RGL No. 146097695. The appellant sent rejoinder conveying his dissatisfaction with the response. The public body has responded to the rejoinder that they have provided all the information except those which fall under the exemption provided under Section 7(g) and (h) of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017.
2. The Commission has examined the information request, response of the public body, rejoinder of the appellant and response of the public body to the rejoinder. The Commission has also heard the representative of the public body present before the Commission. It has been observed that almost all the information has been provided except the reference numbers of other consumers, which is private information and is well covered under Section 7(g) of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017. Similarly, private documents submitted by the consumers are protected under Section 7(h) of the Act from sharing. This is tenable as the law protects the privacy of individuals and their personal records.
3. It has been observed from the information request that the information required at Serial 4 is relating to the priority list for replacement of defective three-phase meters, including reference numbers and copies of meter change orders. Making a priority list for replacement is a matter of public importance and supports matters of policy, being part of good governance, as outlined in the Act. This information needs to be provided and, in fact, should be uploaded on the website for the convenience of the citizens. However, the public body may delete reference numbers in view of the privacy of consumers.

4. This information is to be provided to the appellant as well as to this Commission within 10 days from receipt of this order. In case this information is not provided, the appellant would be at liberty to lodge a complaint against the public body. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 4438-01/2025

Dilawar Hussain

Vs

Multan Electric Power Company (MEPCO)

May 14, 2025

Jamshed Niazi, Director (PR), MEPCO appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. Information furnished by the public body was shared with the appellant vide letter dated 16-04-202 under RGL No. 153080638. No objection has been received from the appellant. It appears that the appellant is satisfied with the response of the public body. The Commission has also examined the information request and the response of the public body and found that it meets the requirements of the information request. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.
2. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 4381-01/2025

Nadeem Tanoli

Vs

Lahore Electric Supply Company (LESCO)

May 14, 2025

None appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. Information furnished by the public body was shared with the appellant vide letter dated 16-04-2025 under RGL No. 153080746. No objection has been received from the appellant. It appears that the appellant is satisfied with the response of the public body. The Commission has also examined the information request and the response of the public body and found that it meets the requirements of the information request. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.
2. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan

Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui

Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission